



TFW

S&H Form: (2/01)  
Attorney Docket No. 1330.1092

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent Application of:

Rajiv GIDADHUBLI, et al.

Application No.: 09/750,295

Group Art Unit: 3624

Confirmation No.: 6529

Filed: December 29, 2000

Examiner: Richard C. WEISBERGER

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR INTEGRATING WITH MULTIPLE APPLICATION  
SYSTEMS

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Election/Restriction Requirement mailed April 5, 2006, the applicants hereby elect Group 2, including claims 9-20 and 35-46, without traverse.

In the Election/Restriction Requirement, the Examiner did not address claims 18-20 and 37-46. This appears to have been a minor oversight by the Examiner. Therefore, Attorney Kravetz called Examiner Weisberger on May 2, 2006, to discuss this matter. During the telephone discussion, Examiner Weisberger confirmed that claims 18-20 and 37-46 should be included in Group 2.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: May 2, 2006

By: Paul I. Kravetz  
Paul I. Kravetz  
Registration No. 35,230

1201 New York Ave, N.W., 7th Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Telephone: (202) 434-1500  
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501